



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11608
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF YOUTH ROLES IN AGRICULTURAL INNOVATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AMONG ARABLE CROP FARMERS IN FARMING COMMUNITIES OF OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Check spelling of some words e.g. yourh (line 118), indigenes (line 143), ... - Check the whole paper again for grammatical errors (e.g. line 113) - The methodology is merely descriptive although use of advanced models like logit or probit or any other alternative is expected - Mixed results and conclusion (line 140) - Line 144-147: High household size cannot lead to that conclusion ... - The adequacy of the sample and the method used for sampling has to be justified - Line 225: -- - Hypothesis testing is not supposed to be a topic by itself. This will be solved if the author applied an Econometric model like Logit/Probit	I appreciate the reviewer comments as highlighted, I have checked and effected all the corrections pointed out.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The Introduction is vast and it would be good if revisited. - Table 1: Descriptive summary for some of the variables (Age, Household size, Income, etc) are not based on scientific or statistical grounds. Categorizing variables like this will decrease precision of such scale variables. It is suggested that the mean, std dev. Max, min of such variables are presented - Table 3: It is difficult for someone to understand the abbreviations SA, A, UD, D and SD. It is suggested that they are written in full as Strongly Agree, Agree, ...etc	I agreed that the mean and standard deviation can assist in given the precise scale of variables but it should not be a substitute to the frequency count of the variables. Therefore, I have added the mean and Standard deviation results to our Tables in order to enhance concise results presentation. Thank you for your understanding.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The topic is very interesting and timely since youth are believed to be the Future farmers and they are hope of future Agriculture - However, the topic is under-investigated in that the objectives set and results obtained are less convincing	